Livestock disease surveillance in Sierra Leone: Significant improvement but gaps remain in data quality and use of antimicrobials
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Key Messages

- **Significant improvement in the animal health surveillance system** – Weekly reports were available from all 15 agricultural districts in 2021 compared with only three districts between 2016 and 2019.
- **Gaps remain, however, in quality of data reported through surveillance.**
- **~25% of the livestock had an infectious disease**, all of them diagnosed without laboratory confirmation.
- **A quarter of the sick animals had received an antimicrobial drug**. Most animals received World Organization for Animal Health’s “veterinary critically important antimicrobials” (77%) and World Health Organization’s “critically” (17%) and “highly important” (60%) antimicrobials for human health.
- **Enhanced antimicrobial stewardship** (setting standards for diagnosis of livestock diseases and antimicrobial use, strengthening recording and reporting systems, capacity building of field staff) is urgently needed to prevent misuse of antimicrobials that are of significance in animal and human health.

What is the problem and why is it important?

- Consumption of antimicrobials in animals, particularly in livestock, is increasing at an unprecedented pace → Rising levels of anti-antimicrobial drug resistance (AMR) → Potential for catastrophic effects on human health.
- **Deaths linked to AMR are highest in Western African countries**, such as Sierra Leone, compared to other regions globally.
- Livestock are a major source of livelihood in the predominantly agrarian country of Sierra Leone.
- **Sierra Leone’s National Strategic Plan for Combatting Antimicrobial Resistance** (2018-22) advocates for the surveillance of livestock diseases, and judicious use and monitoring of antimicrobial agents used in the treatment of livestock diseases.
- A previous operational research study in 2019 found: Routine **reporting of livestock disease and the use of antimicrobials was very poor in the country.**
- In response to the previous study’s findings, staff at all 15 district livestock offices were trained in the routine recording and reporting systems on livestock diseases and antimicrobial use.
How did we measure it?

- Analysis of weekly surveillance reports from the 15 district livestock offices during the period March-October 2021, and data collected on the pattern of livestock diseases and the antimicrobials utilized in their treatment.
- The study was designed as a follow-up of the previous operational research study.

What did we find?

- 461 (88%) out of the expected 525 weekly reports were available from 15 agricultural districts over the 35-week study period.
- Reporting: This was highest from Bombali district (100%) and lowest from Tonkolili district (74%).
- Certain gaps remain in the reporting system:
  - Non-uniform terminology for reporting diseases and antimicrobials
  - Lack of certain data elements required for monitoring purposes (e.g., on treatment outcomes)
  - Assessment of the performance of Community Animal Health Workers was not possible due to no data
- 25% of the livestock had an infectious disease; none of these infections were confirmed in a microbiological laboratory.
- Use of antimicrobials:
  - 25% of the sick animals received an antimicrobial drug
  - 77% of sick animals received “Veterinary critically important” category antimicrobials (World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) classification).

Implications

- The Ministry of Agriculture should lead on further improving reporting systems to generate actionable data on disease burden, antimicrobial use, and resistance:
  - Through consultations with experts and related ministries
  - By development of standard reporting formats with uniform terminology
  - With inclusion of left-over monitoring indicators in reporting formats
  - By improving dedicated human resources for ensuring timely review and analysis of reports
- There is an urgent need for enhanced antimicrobial stewardship in Livestock and Veterinary Services Division to prevent misuse of antimicrobials by:
  - Ensuring adherence to standard guidelines on antimicrobial use
  - Capacity building of livestock officers and community workers
  - Strengthening the laboratory infrastructure for microbiological confirmation of livestock diseases

Parameters missing in the current reporting format:
- Timeliness of reporting
- Level of diagnostic certainty
- Duration of treatment
- Route of administration of drugs
- Treatment outcomes

Critically important antimicrobials: Essential for treating certain diseases in livestock/humans. There may not be substitutes if resistance emerges.

Critically important for veterinary use
77% of sick animals treated with antimicrobials considered “Critically important for veterinary use”
Other antimicrobials

Critically important for human use
17% of sick animals treated with antimicrobials considered “Critically important for human use”
Others