



Short, all-oral Regimens for Rifampicin-resistant Tuberculosis ShORRT Research Package

Readiness checklist of the study site involved in patient recruitment and follow-up, and of the reference laboratory

About this document

The purpose of these ShORRT study site readiness and follow-up and reference laboratory readiness checklists is to verify that the sites have the appropriate characteristics to conduct the study.

These checklists are intended to be used before the start of a ShORRT study to prepare the site(s) to conduct the study, or during the initiation visit to verify that everything is in place to initiate the study.

These lists when completed should be kept in the investigator's file to document that this assessment was performed.

This document is comprised of three checklists:

- Patient recruitment and follow-up site readiness checklist (to be replicated for every study site)
- Readiness checklist for the laboratory of the patient recruitment and follow-up site (to be replicated for every study site)
- Readiness checklist of the reference laboratory

Please note that these checklists include those elements that are considered key to the conduct of ShORRT, but they are not exhaustive and each country should adapt them to their context, as appropriate.





Readiness checklist for patient recruitment and follow-up site

Country			
Name of study site			
Checklist			Remarks and follow-up actions
STUDY TEAM			
Focal person for the study	Has a study focal person been identified and appointed?	Yes No	
Training of study team at the study site on GCP	Have staff received training in GCP principles (including the informed consent process)?	Yes No	
Roles and responsibilities of the personnel involved in the study on site	Have the roles and responsibilities of the staff at the study site been defined? Example: Is there someone dedicated to sending the samples to the lab?	Yes No	
Training and capacity building of the team on study procedures	Has the study team been trained on the procedures? Example: Has the clinician been trained in the tests involved in the study (according to the study follow-up schedule)?	Yes No	
Communication between the staff at the site involved in the study and between the study site and the study coordinator	Is the communication flow between all study actors and the coordination team well defined (i.e. everyone knows who to contact if there is a problem)? Example: In the event of an adverse event, has the communication flow been defined?	Yes No	
EQUIPMENT			
Weight scale	Is a weight scale available at the study site and is it working?	Yes No	
Tape measure	Is a tape measure available?	Yes No	
ECG	Is an ECG machine available and functioning?	Yes No	If no, a referral system should be in place
Snellen test	Is the Snellen test available?	Yes No NA	If no but required for the follow-up of the patient, a referral system should be in place





Ishihara test	Is the Ishihara test available?	Yes No NA	If no but required for the follow-up of the patient, a referral system should be in place
Audiometer	Is an audiometer available?	Yes No NA	If no but required for the follow-up of the patient, a referral system should be in place
Electricity	Is there electricity at the study site? Is it stable?	Yes No	
Internet	Is there an internet connection for online data entry on tablets/laptops	? Yes No	If there is no internet connection, consider using tablets instead of laptops to enter data "offline"
STUDY DOCUMENTATION			
Consent form	Have copies of the informed consent form been printed and are they available at the study site?	Yes No	
Protocol	Does the study site have the latest version of the study protocol?	Yes No	
Ethics approval	Is a copy of the ethics approval available at the study site?	Yes No	
Key study procedures	Are the key study procedures available at the study site?	Yes No	
If data collection is paper-based, case report form at the study sites	Have the data collection forms been printed and are they available at the study site?	☐ No	
Data source documents checklist	Is the list of the source documents available? (Please note that this che indicates in which document study staff can find each piece of information of the source document study staff can find each piece of information.)	=	
Secure place for the study documentation	Is there a secure place at the study site where the study documentation be stored?	can Yes No	
OVERALL CONCLUSION OF THE ASSESSMENT			
Is the study site ready to commence the s	tudy?		
If "No", please list the recommended acti	vities to perform for the site to be ready? • •		

Date: ___ / ___ /___

Completed by: _____





Readiness checklist of the laboratory at the study site

THIS SECT	THIS SECTION SHOULD BE COMPLETED AT THE Xpert MTB/RIF SITE (you should duplicate this table according to the number of sites involved in the study)				
Country:					
Name of	laboratory:				
Checklist				Remarks and follow-up actions	
1.	Tests carried ou	ıt	☐ Microscopy ☐ Xpert MTB/RIF		
2.	Correct identific	cation of samples (labelling, verification of conformity)?	Yes No		
3.	Microscopy				
3.1	Optimal quality	control of colorants (new batch, routine, process)?	Yes No		
3.2	Colorants well p	preserved?	Yes No		
3.3	Smears well do	ne (size; thickness; homogeneity)?	Yes No		
3.4	Optimal stainin	g (drying and fixation of smears, staining time)?	Yes No		
3.5	Acceptable prev	vious quarter review results (OHFN, OHFP, +/-EQ)?	Yes No		
4.	Xpert MTB/RIF	machine & functioning			
4.1	Is an X	pert MTB/RIF machine available?	Yes No		
4.2	Is the)	Kpert MTB/RIF used in a temperature-controlled environment?	Yes No		
4.3		algorithm for reporting resistant cases in line with WHO mendations?	Yes No		
4.4	Metho	d employed for detecting Rifampicin resistance?	Xpert MTB/RIF Xpert Ultra Other	If other, please specify the number of days to have a result? []	
4.5	Is the s	storage of cartridges correct?	Yes No		





4.6	What is the type of machine available?	4 modules: [] 16 modules: []	Other (please specify) : []
4.7	Regular and adequate maintenance (daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly and annually)?	Yes No	
4.8	Number of functioning modules out of total number of modules	[]	
4.9	Is the handling correct?	Yes No	
5	Usage of Xpert MTB/RIF for the detection of rifampicin resistance		
5.1.	Is the study data management system in place?	Yes No	
5.2.	Number of RRs screened / Number of RRs sent to the clinic?	[]	
5.3.	Person responsible for the study identified and trained?	Yes No	
8.Xpert N	TTB/RIF performance		
8.1	Period:	[]	
8.2	Number of tests conducted:		
8.3	Error: is _ %. Probably due to improper handling of samples (2008, 5006, 5007) :	%	
8.4.	% Invalid:	%	
8.5.	% No results :	%	
			Date : / /
			Completed by:





Readiness checklist for the reference laboratory

Country:				
Name of the r	reference laboratory:			
		1.Tests of hybridisati	ion on strips (Hain Test)	
1.1	Are the reagents avo	ailable?	Yes No	If not, please reconsider the start date of the study
1.2	Optimal quality (no	expired) of consumables and reagents?	Yes No Not evaluated	
1.3	Correct storage (in to of the reagents?	he refrigerator / freezer and at monitored temperature)	Yes No Not evaluated	
1.4		ogy infrastructure well-adapted with an adequate (3 rooms respecting the front step)?	Yes No	
1.5	Correct handling pro	ncedure (please complete the activity report presented at nent)?	Yes No	
1.6	Test performed on co	linical samples (and not on strains)?	Yes No	
1.7	Is the interpretation validated strips)?	of the results correct (see interpretation of already	Yes No	
1.8	Is the deadline for re results) satisfactory?	endering results (collection of samples - rendering of	Yes No	If Yes, please specify the number of days [] days
1.9	Is the channel for tro results)	ansmitting results to clinicians efficient? (Availability of	Yes No	
1.10	Is resistance to the f	ollowing drugs being tested?	RIF Yes No INH Yes No INJ Yes No FO Yes No	If FQ Hain tests are not performed, another rapid test should be available to quickly detect FQ resistance. This is a requirement before starting a shorter all oral regimen containing FQ drugs.





1.11	Are the results of the external quality control for the previous year satisfactory?	Yes No Not done	If Yes, please specify the results of the performance RIF: Se Sp Concordance INH: Se Sp Concordance INJ: Se Sp Concordance FQ: Se Sp Concordance
	2. Phenotypic	sensitivity tests	
2.1	Which method is utilised?	Proportion method Other	If Other, please specify
2.2	Medium	☐ ☐ MGIT ☐ Other	If Other, please specify
2.3	<i>LJ medium</i> ☐ Not applicable (please go to Section 2.4)		
2.3.1	List of antibiotics tested (1 st and 2 nd line)]]]
2.3.2	Culture media (incorporated with 1st and 2nd line ATB) prepared on site?	Yes No	
2.3.3	Are culture media available?	Yes No	
2.3.4	Internal quality control results (sterility and fertility) of the prepared media monitored and acceptable	Yes No Not done	
2.3.5	Is the handling procedure correct? (please complete the activity report presented at the end of the document)	Yes No	
2.3.6	Is the interpretation of the results correct?	Yes No	
2.3.7	Handling time after acceptable culture positivity (less than eight weeks)?	Yes No	If Yes, please specify the number of days: [] days
2.4	MGIT medium Not applicable (please go to Section 3)		





2.4.1	List of antibiotics tested by MGIT medium		
2.4.2	Are the inputs (reagents, consumables) necessary to perform the test available?	Yes No	
2.4.3	Has the calibration been done?	Yes No	If Yes, date of the last calibration : []
2.5	Adapted infrastructure (negative pressure laboratory, certified class II PSM) for carrying out the tests?	Yes No	
2.6	Is the channel for transmitting results to clinicians efficient? (Availability of results)	Yes No	
2.7	Ability to introduce new drugs? (Equipment, reagents, consumables)	Yes No	Please list the new drugs that can be tested in the lab
2.8	Are the results of the external quality control for the previous year satisfactory?	Yes No Not done	RIF: Se Sp Concordance INH: Se Sp Concordance INJ: Se Sp Concordance FQ: Se Sp Concordance
2.9	Are the results of the comparison of local results with results of samples sent to the reference laboratory satisfactory?	Yes No No Not applicable	RIF: Se Sp Concordance INH: Se Sp Concordance INJ: Se Sp Concordance FQ: Se Sp Concordance
	3. Sa	mples	
3.1	Is there an efficient sputum transport system from treatment centres to the national reference laboratory in place?	Yes No	
3.2	Is there an efficient transport system for strains from the national reference laboratory to the supranational laboratory in place?	Yes No	
3.3	Are the system and inputs (Cryoboxes, cryotubes, freezer) retention of baseline samples and in case of relapse in place? (culture strains and pellets)	Yes No	
	4. Data m	anagement	
4.1	Is there a register of available data?	Yes No	





4.2	Is there a patient data storage system in place?	Yes No	
4.3	Circuit for performing the tests (microscopy, Xpert MTB/RIF and culture)	[]	
	5. Pe	rsonnel	
5.1	Is there a focal person for the study in the lab?	Yes No	If No, this is a must for conducting the study
5.2	The list of tasks for this focal person is defined - someone is appointed to replace this person in case it is required	Yes No	If No, this is a must for conducting the study
5.3	This person has been trained on the ShORRT study and knows the key study procedures related to the lab	Yes No	If no, this is a must for conducting the study
5.4	A procedure for regular reporting of laboratory activities to the study coordinator is in place	Yes No	If Yes, every week? Every two weeks? Please specify If No, this is a must for conducting the study





Activity report

Period: []		
Number of tests conducted:	Invalid/ not interpretable:	%

	Conducted N (%)	Valid N (%)	Invalid N (%)	Not interpretable N (%)
LPA 1 st line				
LPA 2 nd line				

Period: [___ | ___ | ___]

	Conducted N (%)	Valid N (%)	Contaminated N (%)	In progress N (%)
DST 1 st line				
DST 2 nd line				

Date: / /
Completed by: